Cruz, Francisco

From: Trulear, Brian

Sent: Tuesday, October 11, 2016 1:02 PM

To: Jessica Bier

Cc: Cruz, Francisco; Shamet, Stefania; McGuigan, David; Capacasa, Jon

Subject: RE: specific objection follow up letter

Jessica,

Due to other unforeseen circumstances, we have yet to send the letter to DMLR regarding the Red River permit. Please be assured that we have all intentions of sending this letter and we plan to have the letter ready within the next 2 weeks.

Thanks, Brian

From: Jessica Bier

Sent: Monday, October 10, 2016 8:11 AM **To:** Trulear, Brian < Trulear.Brian@epa.gov>

Cc: Cruz, Francisco < Cruz. Francisco @epa.gov>; Shamet, Stefania < Shamet. Stefania@epa.gov>; McGuigan, David < McGuigan. David@epa.gov>; Capacasa, Jon

<Capacasa.jon@epa.gov>

Subject: Fw: specific objection follow up letter

Mr. Trulear,

Mr. Cruz did not respond to my email, and didn't return my call last week. Perhaps he is out of the office. Would you be willing to address the email below?

Thank you, Jessica

From: Jessica Bier

Sent: Monday, October 3, 2016 1:05 PM

To: Cruz, Francisco

Cc: Trulear, Brian; Shamet, Stefania; McGuigan, David; Capacasa, Jon

Subject: Re: specific objection follow up letter

Could you tell me if you/EPA managed to write and send the follow up letter to DMLR regarding approval of Red River's 0081401/1101401 permit renewal?

If so, could you please email me a copy?

You might find it of interest that the application for renewal of the recently issued (improvidently?) permit was public noticed. I thought about sending in comments, but it seems inane, given that DMLR did not appear to consider, and still has not responded, to my comments on the draft permit approved by DMLR on August 5th. Perhaps the EPA could comment?

Thank you, Jessica

From: Cruz, Francisco < Cruz.Francisco@epa.gov Sent: Monday, September 26, 2016 4:18 PM

To: Jessica Bier

Cc: Trulear, Brian; Shamet, Stefania; McGuigan, David; Capacasa, Jon

Subject: RE: specific objection follow up letter

Jessica, I could not get the Red River letter response completed las week because I was working in another project that is due today.

From: Jessica Bier

Sent: Monday, September 26, 2016 10:08 AM **To:** Cruz, Francisco < Cruz.Francisco@epa.gov>

Cc: Trulear, Brian < Trulear.Brian@epa.gov; Shamet, Stefania < Shamet, Stefania@epa.gov; McGuigan, David < McGuigan.David@epa.gov; Capacasa, Jon

<<u>Capacasa.jon@epa.gov</u>>

Subject: Re: specific objection follow up letter

I don't want to be annoying/bothersome but could you tell me if EPA sent the follow up letter to DMLR, regarding their approval of Red River's 0081401/1101401 permit renewal? If so, could you please forward me a copy?
Thank you,
Jessica
From: Cruz, Francisco < Cruz.Francisco@epa.gov > Sent: Friday, September 16, 2016 12:45 PM To: Jessica Bier Cc: Trulear, Brian; Shamet, Stefania; McGuigan, David; Capacasa, Jon Subject: RE: specific objection follow up letter
We are going on that letter. Presently, I am working on another mining permit, but we should be able to send our letter by next week. Thank you for your interest on this matter. I am working from an alternative location, can be reached at 856/858-9345.
Thanks,
Francisco Cruz
From: Jessica Bier [Sent: Friday, September 16, 2016 8:42 AM To: Cruz, Francisco < Cruz.Francisco@epa.gov>; Trulear, Brian@epa.gov>

Subject: specific objection follow up letter

Could you tell me if the EPA sent the follow up letter to VA DMLR, regarding EPA's objection to the permit renewal for Red River 0081401/1101401?

If yes, could you please forward me a copy?

If no, could you tell me if there are there still plans to send that letter?

Thank you, Jessica

From: Cruz, Francisco < Cruz.Francisco@epa.gov>
Sent: Thursday, September 1, 2016 11:39 AM

To: Jessica Bier

Cc: Trulear, Brian; Shamet, Stefania; Harsh, Chad

Subject: RE: DMR

Thank you for the information.

From: Jessica Bier

Sent: Thursday, September 01, 2016 7:29 AM **To:** Cruz, Francisco < <u>Cruz.Francisco@epa.gov</u>>

Subject: DMR

Here is the DMR. I'll send the benthic monitoring reports in separate emails b/c of the large file sizes.